|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | 10/709,583   | TESLER ET AL.  |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   | Jefferson A. Evans   | 2627   |
| II Participants: Status of Application:   |  |  |
| (1) <u>Jefferson A. Evans (PTO)</u> .   | (3)  |  |
| (2) <u>Alexander Tesler</u> .   | (4)  |  |
| Date of Interview: <u>5 April 2007</u>  | Time: <u>2:00pm EST</u>  |  |
| Type of Interview:  Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:   |  |  |
| Part I.   |  |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:   |  |  |
| Claims discussed: 1-3  Prior art documents discussed:   |  |  |
| Part II.  |  |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet  | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS   | S DISCUSSED:   |
| Part III.   | •  |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul> | e examiner will provide a writtenesses ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
|   | ·  |  |
| (Evandar/SPE Signatura) (Applicated)  | Applicant's Postsontative Si   | anature — if appropriato)                                  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)  |  |  |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner asked about the incomplete nature of the response filed 12-21-2006. Applicant indicated the intent was to take the subject matter indicated as allowable by taking dependent claims 2 and 3 and putting them in independent form as claims 1 and 2. The Examiner indicated that problems existed such as that claims 2 and 3 would need to remain as claims 2 and 3 using proper amendment practice such as bracketing and underlining changes as appropriate, or claims 2 and 3 could be rewritten in independent form as claims 4 and 5 with claims 2 and 3 being canceled. In either case the claims would require appropriate tags such as "New" or "Currently Amended". Also, an amendment should include a Remarks section indicating what the amendment is doing and attempting to accomplish. The Examiner indicated a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment would be sent out..